

B-8



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,657	04/16/2001	Nathalie Garcon	B 45158	2235

7590 09/10/2004

ANDREA V. LOCKENOUR  
GLAXOSMITHKLINE  
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - UW2220  
P.O. BOX 1539  
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939

EXAMINER

LUCAS, ZACHARIAH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1648

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/807,657	GARCON, NATHALIE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

**All Participants:**

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Andrea Lockenour.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 September 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 Na

Claims discussed:  
 93-103

Prior art documents discussed:  
 NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JAMES HOUSEL  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

*James C. House*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition for allowance if the application (as presented in the After-Final amendment of August 20, 2004) were amended as follows:

- 1) if the phrase "wherein said vaccine composition does not comprise TRAP" was deleted from claims 93-103.
- 2) if a sentence referring to adjuvant compositions comprising an immunostimulat that is not a saponin was inserted into the specificaion.

The Applicant did not find these amendments acceptable. .